

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/651,998	09/02/2003	. Hideki Sawaguchi	520.43090X00	1415
20457 ANTONELLI	7590 01/10/2008 TERRY STOUT & KI	EXAMINER		
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873			ABRAHAM, ESAW T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2112	
		·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		(9)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/651,998	SAWAGUCHI, HIDEKI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Esaw T. Abraham	2112			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  Only be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 De	<u>ecember 2007</u> .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
,—	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 14-17 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2,16 and 17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b)  objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ee. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Ap rity documents have been r u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) //Mail Date formal Patent Application _			

Art Unit: 2112

#### **DETAIL ACTION**

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/20/07 has been entered.

1. Claims 1-17 are presented for examination.

### Claim objection

2. Claims 1-17 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In line 15, claim 1 recites, "...in each code block" and it should recite, "...in each code sequence block" to show proper antecedent.

Claims 2 and 16 are at least objected for their dependencies, directly or indirectly, on the objected claim 1 above.

In line 25, the claim 3 recites "the code sequence block" and it should recite "in each code sequence block".

Claims 14, 15 and 17 are at least objected for their dependencies, directly or indirectly, on the objected claim 3 above.

In lines 4 and 5, claim 4 recites "the divided code sequence block" and it should recite "in each code sequence block"

10/651,998

Art Unit: 2112

Claims 5-7 are at least objected for their dependencies, directly or indirectly, on the objected claim 4 above.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 2nd

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1, 2, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites, "a first redundant code composed of plural code sequence blocks used for hard-decision type data error correction, which are composed of a plural code block" (see lines 11 and 12) which renders the claim indefinite since it is not clear how to distinguish between the composed plural code sequence blocks and composed of a plural code block that makes up the composed plural code.

Claims 2 and 16 are at least rejected for their dependencies, directly or indirectly, on the rejected claim 1 above.

Regarding claims 16 and 17, line 4, which have the phases "can be corrected", are not specific and vague (for example, symbols can be corrected by the first redundant code which is the symbol can be or cannot be corrected with the first redundant code).

### Allowable subject matter

10/651,998 Art Unit: 2112

4. Claims 1-17 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the claim objections and rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Esaw T. Abraham whose telephone number is (571) 272-3812. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jacques Louis-Jacques can be reached on (571) 272-6962. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Esaw Abraham

Art Unit 2112

(571) 272-3812 Phone
esaw.abraham@uspto.gov